Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/851,474	KOUZMINOV, TIMOFEI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachary A. Davis	2137

All Participants:

(1) *Zachary A. Davis*.

(2) *Mark Lappin*.

Status of Application: *Final Rejection*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *22 November 2005*   **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: ____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
n/a

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner requested confirmation as to whether a reply had been filed to the outstanding action mailed 13 May 2005. Mr. Lappin indicated that no reply had been filed.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

_____   _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)   (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)